arXiv:1411.6554v4 [math.CO] 16 Feb 2016

Parity linkage and the Erdős-Pósa property of odd cycles through prescribed vertices in highly connected graphs

Felix Joos

Abstract

We show the following for every sufficiently connected graph G, any vertex subset S of G, and given integer k: there are k disjoint odd cycles in G each containing a vertex of S or there is set X of at most $2k-2$ vertices such that $G-X$ does not contain any odd cycle that contains a vertex of S. We prove this via an extension of Kawarabayashi and Reed's result about parity-k-linked graphs (Combinatorica 29, 215-225). From this result it is easy to deduce several other well known results about the Erdős-Pósa property of odd cycles in highly connected graphs. This strengthens results due to Thomassen (Combinatorica 21, 321-333), and Rautenbach and Reed (Combinatorica 21, 267-278), respectively.

Keywords: cycles, packing, covering
AMS subject classification: 05C70,

1 Introduction

We consider only finite and simple graphs. A family $\mathcal{F}$ of graphs has the *Erdős-Pósa property* if there is a function $f_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that for every positive integer k and every graph G, the graph G contains k disjoint subgraphs from $\mathcal{F}$ or there is a set X of vertices of G with $|X| < f_{\mathcal{F}}(k)$ such that $G-X$ contains no subgraph from $\mathcal{F}$. This notion has been introduced because Erdős and Pósa proved that the family of cycles has the Erdős-Pósa property [6]. It is one facet of the duality between packing and covering in graphs, which is one of the most fundamental concepts in graph theory. There is a huge number of results about families of graphs which have the Erdős-Pósa property. For example, Birmelé, Bondy, and Reed [1] verified it for the family of cycles of length at least ℓ for some integer ℓ and Robertson and Seymour [16] showed it for the family of graphs that contain a fixed planar graph as a minor.

In contrast, the family of odd cycles does not have the Erdős-Pósa property. In particular, there is a sequence of graphs $(G_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that G_n does not contain two disjoint odd cycles, all odd cycles are of length $\Omega(\sqrt{n})$, and every set that intersects all odd cycles has cardinality at least $\Omega(\sqrt{n})$ [15].

However, Thomassen [18] proved that the family of odd cycles has the Erdős-Pósa property if we restrict ourselves to graphs with high connectivity. Rautenbach and Reed [14] improved Thomassen's connectivity bound from a double-exponential to linear one. Later, Kawarabayashi and Reed [10] lowered

this bound to $24k$, and Kawarabayashi and Wollan [11] improved this further to $\frac{31}{2}k$.

More than 50 years ago, Dirac [4] showed that in every k-connected graph G, there is a cycle containing any prescribed set of k vertices. Later, Bondy and Lovász [2] extended Dirac's result and proved among other results along this line that for every k-connected non-bipartite graph G, there is an odd cycle containing any prescribed set of $k-1$ vertices.

If one asks for many disjoint cycles through a prescribed set S of vertices it is natural to start with disjoint cycles each containing at least one element of S. We call such cycles *S-cycles*. Pontecorvi and Wollan [13] showed that the class $\mathcal{C}_S$ of S-cycles has the Erdős-Pósa property with $f_{\mathcal{C}_S}(k) = O(k \log k)$, which improved the quadratic bound from [9]. Bruhn et al. [3] proved that the class $\mathcal{C}_\ell$ of all S-cycles of length at least ℓ has the Erdős-Pósa property with $f_{\mathcal{C}_\ell}(k) = O(\ell k \log k)$. For $S = V(G)$, these results yield the Erdős-Pósa property for cycles and cycles of length at least ℓ, respectively.

Although, the Erdős-Pósa property does not hold for odd cycles, it is proved in [8] that a half-integral version for the Erdős-Pósa property of odd S-cycles holds. This generalizes a result of Reed [15], who proved the case $S = V(G)$.

In this paper we continue the study of S-cycles by showing the following theorem. We say a set of vertices X is an *odd cycle cover* and an *odd S-cycle cover* of G if $G - X$ is bipartite and if $G - X$ does not contain an odd S-cycle, respectively. As mentioned above, the results in [10, 11, 14] show that linear connectivity ensures that a graph has k vertex disjoint odd cycles or an odd cycle cover of size $2k-2$. We show that a sufficiently connected graph has k vertex disjoint odd S-cycles for any prescribed vertex set S or has an odd S-cycle cover of size $2k-2$. Furthermore, if S has size at least k, then in the latter case the graph has also an odd cycle cover of size $3k-3$. The bound of $2k-2$ and $3k-3$ is tight for any connectivity, respectively.

Theorem 1. *For any integer k, any $50k$-connected graph G, and any subset S of vertices of G, at least one of the following statements hold:*

(i) G contains k disjoint odd S-cycles.

(ii) There is a set X with $|X| \leq 2k-2$ such that $G - X$ does not contain an odd S-cycle and if $|S| \geq k$, there is a set Y with $|Y| \leq 3k-3$ such that $G - X$ is bipartite.

Observe that the choice $S = V(G)$ implies the results in [10, 11, 14]. In fact, we prove more detailed results than Theorem 1. It is not difficult to see that there are arbitrarily highly connected graphs that contain k disjoint odd (S-)cycles and an odd (S-)cycle cover of size less than $2k-2$. In this paper, we present an equivalent condition for $50k$-connected graphs for having k disjoint odd (S-)cycles and deduce the known Erdős-Pósa-type result from this result.

We say a graph is *k-linked* if for every set of k pairs of distinct vertices $\{\{s_1, t_1\}, \ldots, \{s_k, t_k\}\}$, there are disjoint paths $P_1, \ldots, P_k$ such that P_i connects s_i and t_i. Moreover, a graph is *parity-k-linked* if it is k-linked and we can additionally specify whether the length of each P_i should be odd or even individually for every $1 \leq i \leq k$.

There are several results stating that if G is $g_1(k)$-connected, then G is k-linked. The best such result is due to Thomas and Wollan [17] who proved that $g_1(k) = 10k$ suffices. They even proved the following stronger result.

Theorem 2 ([17]). *Every $2k$-connected graph G with at least $5k|V(G)|$ edges is k-linked.*

There are also results of the form if G is $g_2(k)$-connected and without an odd cycle cover of size $4k-4$, then G is parity-k-linked. In particular, Kawarabayashi and Reed [10] proved the following.

Theorem 3 ([10]). *Every $50k$-connected graph without an odd cycle cover of size $4k-4$ is parity-k-linked.*

The condition of having no small odd cycle cover is necessary and best possible – there are graphs of arbitrarily high connectivity and with an odd cycle cover of size $4k-4$ that are not parity-k-linked. For example, consider a large complete bipartite graph G with bipartition (A, B) where we add to A the edges of a clique on $2k-1$ vertices and we add to B the edges of a clique on $2k$ vertices $\{s_1, \ldots, s_k, t_1, \ldots, t_k\}$ minus the perfect matching $\{s_1t_1, \ldots, s_kt_k\}$.

One can apply Theorem 3 almost directly to deduce that every $50k$-connected graph G without an odd cycle cover of size $4k-4$ has k disjoint odd S-cycles for any set S of at least k vertices. However, the bound on the size of the odd cycle cover is not optimal. In this paper we prove a stronger version of Theorem 3, reprove the Erdős-Pósa property for odd cycles for $50k$-connected graphs, and as the main result of this paper, we prove Theorem 1.

In addition, we prove several results on the way that may be of independent interest.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we deal with the results concerning the parity-k-linkage and in Section 3 we prove the results about the Erdős-Pósa property for odd S-cycles.

2 Highly parity linked graphs

In the next theorem we explicitly characterize the obstruction for a $50k$-connected graph and a set $\{\{s_1, t_1\}, \ldots, \{s_k, t_k\}\}$ of k pairs of distinct vertices for not having k disjoint $P_1, \ldots, P_k$ paths of prescribed length parity where P_i connects s_i and t_i.

Before we state the theorem, we introduce some definitions. A *partition* (A, B) of G is partition of the vertex set of G into two sets A and B. For a partition (A, B) of G, we denote by $G_{A,B}$ the graph $G[A] \cup G[B]$. A partition (A, B) of G is a *bipartition* if $G_{A,B}$ is edgeless. A partition (A, B) of G is *nice* if there is a minimum odd cycle cover X of G for which $(A \setminus X, B \setminus X)$ is a bipartition of $G - X$ such that a vertex of X is in A (respectively, B) if it has more neighbors in $B \setminus X$ than in $A \setminus X$ (respectively, more neighbors in $A \setminus X$ than in $B \setminus X$). We say that a minimum odd cycle cover X *induces* some nice partition (A, B) of G if $(A \setminus X, B \setminus X)$ is a bipartition such that a vertex of X is in A (respectively, B) if it has more neighbors in $B \setminus X$ than in $A \setminus X$ (respectively, more neighbors in $A \setminus X$ than in $B \setminus X$). Note that every minimum odd cycle cover induces a nice partition.

Let (A, B) be nice partition of G and let $S = \{\{s_1, t_1\}, \ldots, \{s_k, t_k\}\}$ be a set of k pairs distinct vertices. Let $I \subseteq [k]$ be a set of integers. A *parity breaking matching* for (S, I) (with respect to the partition (A, B)) is a matching $M = \{m_i\}_{i \in I}$ such that $M \subseteq E(G_{A,B})$ and $m_i \cap \{s_j, t_j\} = \emptyset$, for $i \in I$ and $i \neq j \in [k]$. If $I = [k]$, we also say M is a parity breaking matching for S.

Theorem 4. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $I \subseteq [k]$. Let G be a $(26k + 24|I|)$-connected graph and let $S = \{\{s_1, t_1\}, \ldots, \{s_k, t_k\}\}$ be a set of k pairs of distinct vertices. If there is a nice partition (A, B) of G with a parity breaking matching M for (S, I), then G contains k disjoint paths $P_1, \ldots, P_k$ such that P_i connects s_i and t_i and for $i \in I$, we can individually prescribe the parity of the length of P_i.*

Let us make the following observation. Suppose G is a graph and $S = \{\{s_1, t_1\}, \ldots, \{s_k, t_k\}\}$ is a set of k pairs of distinct vertices. Suppose G contains k disjoint paths $P_1, \ldots, P_k$ such that P_i connects s_i and t_i and for $i \in I$, we can individually prescribe the parity of the length of P_i. Let (A, B) be any partition of G. Let $P_1, \ldots, P_k$ be disjoint paths where P_i is a s_i, t_i-path and for $i \in I$, we choose the parity of P_i to be even if exactly one vertex of $\{s_i, t_i\}$ belongs to A and odd otherwise. Thus for $i \in I$, the path P_i contains at least one edge m_i in $E(G_{A,B})$. Therefore, $\{m_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a parity breaking matching for (S, I). This leads to the following corollary.

Corollary 5. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $I \subseteq [k]$. Let G be a $(26k + 24|I|)$-connected graph and let $S = \{\{s_1, t_1\}, \ldots, \{s_k, t_k\}\}$ be a set of k pairs of distinct vertices. A nice partition of G has a parity breaking matching for (S, I) if and only if every nice partition has a parity breaking matching for (S, I).*

There are plenty of consequences of Theorem 4. Firstly, it is easy to see that it implies Corollary 6.

Corollary 6. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let G be a $50k$-connected graph. Exactly one of the following two statements holds.*

(i) G is k-parity linked.

(ii) There is a set $S = \{\{s_1, t_1\}, \ldots, \{s_k, t_k\}\}$ of k pairs of distinct vertices such that for all nice partitions of G, there is no parity breaking matching for S of size k.

Secondly, later we deduce Theorem 3. The third consequence (Theorem 7) shows that the bound "$4k - 4$" in Theorem 3 can be strengthened to "$2k - 2$" if $\{s_1, \ldots, s_k, t_1, \ldots, t_k\}$ is an independent set. Note that both bounds "$4k - 4$" and "$2k - 2$" are best possible, respectively. As a fourth consequence we prove the Erdős-Pósa property for odd S-cycles (Theorem 1) in Section 3.

We say that G is *parity-k-linked restricted to independent sets* if for every independent set of $2k$ vertices $\{s_1, \ldots, s_k, t_1, \ldots, t_k\}$, there are disjoint paths $P_1, \ldots, P_k$ such that P_i connects s_i and t_i and we can choose whether the length of P_i is odd or even.

Theorem 7. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let G be a $50k$-connected graph. At least one of the following statements holds.*

(i) G is parity-k-linked restricted to independent sets.

(ii) There is a set X of $2k - 2$ vertices such that $G - X$ is bipartite.

Next, we mention two results needed in the proof of Theorem 4. The first result is basically due to Mader and there is a slightly improved version for triangle-free graphs in [10]. For a graph G, let $\delta(G)$ be the minimum degree of G.

Lemma 8 (Mader [12]). *If G is a graph such that $\delta(G) \geq 12k$, then G contains a $2k$-connected graph H with at least $5k|E(H)|$ edges.*

Using Theorem 2, this implies that a graph G with $\delta(G) \geq 12k$ has a subgraph which is k-linked.

Another result which is used in the proof of Theorem 4 is due to Geelen et al.. For a graph G and a set of vertices Z, a *Z-path* is a path P such that $V(P) \cap Z$ contains exactly the end vertices of P.

Theorem 9 (Geelen et al. [7]). *For any set Z of vertices of a graph G and any positive integer ℓ at least one of the following statements holds.*

(i) There are ℓ disjoint odd Z-paths.

(ii) There is a set X of at most $2\ell - 2$ vertices such that $G - X$ contains no odd Z-path.

We proceed with the proof of Theorem 4.

Proof of Theorem 4. Let (A, B) be a nice partition of G with a parity breaking matching $M = \{m_i\}_{i \in I}$. If an edge of M covers a vertex of $\{s_i, t_i\}$, let $m_i = x_i y_i$ be this edge and choose x_i, y_i such that $x_i = s_i$ or $y_i = t_i$. Let X be a minimum odd cycle cover of G that induces the nice partition (A, B).

Suppose first that $|X| < 8k$. By the definition of a nice partition and the fact that G is $(26k + 24|I|)$-connected, we know that every vertex in $A \cap X$ has at least $9k$ neighbors in $B \setminus X$ and every vertex in $A \setminus X$ has at least $18k$ neighbors in $B \setminus X$. Thus every vertex in A has at least $9k$ neighbors in $B \setminus X$. Let $T = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \{s_i, t_i\} \cup \bigcup_{i \in I} \{x_i, y_i\}$. Hence every vertex in A has at least $5k$ neighbors in $A \setminus (T \cup X)$. The same holds vice versa for the vertices in B.

Therefore, we can find a set of at most $4k$ distinct vertices

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \{s_i', t_i'\} \cup \bigcup_{i \in I} \{x_i', y_i'\} \subseteq V(G) \setminus (T \cup X)$$

such that z' is a neighbor of z for $z \in T$ (symbolically written) and exactly one vertex of the set $\{z, z'\}$ belongs to A.

Let $G' = G - (T \cup X)$. Thus G' is $24k$-connected and bipartite. In addition, by Theorem 2, we obtain that G' is $2k$-linked.

Next, we define the desired disjoint paths $P_1, \ldots, P_k$ such that P_i is a s_i, t_i-path in G and for $i \in I$ the length of P_i is of the prescribed parity. In order to do so, we seek for disjoint paths $P_1', \ldots, P_k', P_1'', \ldots, P_k''$ in G' with disjoint end vertices. As G' is $2k$-linked such disjoint paths exist.

For every i we proceed as follows. If $i \notin I$, then let P_i' be a s_i', t_i'-path in G' and let P_i be the conjunction of $s_i s_i'$, the path P_i', and $t_i' t_i$. Suppose next that $i \in I$. If our choice of the parity of length of P_i shall respect the parity naturally given by the sides of the partition (A, B), then let P_i' be a path connecting s_i' and t_i' in G' and let P_i be the conjunction of $s_i s_i'$, the path P_i', and $t_i' t_i$. Otherwise, let P_i' be a path connecting s_i' and x_i' and P_i'' be a path connecting t_i' and y_i'. If $\{s_i, t_i\} \cap \{x_i, y_i\} = \emptyset$, then let P_i be the conjunction of $s_i s_i'$, the path P_i', the path $x_i' x_i y_i y_i'$, the path P_i'', and $t_i' t_i$. If $s_i = x_i$ and $t_i \neq y_i$, then let P_i be the conjunction of $s_i y_i y_i'$, the path P_i'', and $t_i' t_i$. If $s_i \neq x_i$ and $t_i = y_i$, then let

P_i be the conjunction of $s_i s_i'$, the path P_i', and $x_i' x_i t_i$. Finally, if $s_i = x_i$ and $t_i = y_i$, then let $P_i = s_i t_i$. Thus, there are disjoint paths $P_1, \ldots, P_k$ as desired.

It remains to show that if X has size at least $8k$, then the first statement holds. This part of the proof can basically be found in [10]. However, we change some arguments which leads to a shorter proof. Let $G' = G - \{s_1, \ldots, s_k, t_1, \ldots, t_k\}$ and let (A', B') be a partition of G' such that $|E(G'_{A',B'})|$ is minimized. Define $G'' = G' - E(G'_{A',B'})$. Note that $\delta(G'') \geq 12(k + |I|)$ and G'' is bipartite. By Lemma 8, there is a $2(k + |I|)$-connected subgraph H of G'' with $|E(H)| \geq 5(k + |I|)|V(H)|$. Moreover, by Theorem 2, the graph H is $(k + |I|)$-linked. Let (A_H, B_H) be the (unique) bipartition of H such that $A_H \subseteq A'$. Observe that $|A_H| \geq 10k$, because $\delta(H) \geq 10k$.

Theorem 9 guarantees a set Y with $|Y| \leq 6k - 6$ that intersects all odd A_H-paths in G' or $3k$ disjoint odd A_H-paths in G'. Suppose that there is a set Y of at most $6k - 6$ vertices such that $G' - Y$ contains no odd A_H-path. For a contradiction, we assume that $G' - Y$ is not bipartite. Thus there is an odd cycle C in $G' - Y$. Since G' is $24(k + |I|)k$-connected, $G' - Y$ is 2-connected. Hence there are two disjoint A_H-C-paths in G'. Note that the length of these paths could be zero. Nevertheless, combining these two paths with a suitable part of the cycle C leads to an odd A_H-path, which is a contradiction. This in turn implies that $S \cup Y$ is an odd cycle cover of G of size at most $8k - 6$, which is a contradiction to the assumption $|X| \geq 8k$. Thus Theorem 9 implies the existence of $3k$ disjoint odd A_H-paths.

Let P be one of these $3k$ odd A_H-path. There is a natural partition of $E(P)$ into $V(H)$-paths. Because P is an odd A_H-path, there is a subpath P' of P such that P' is an odd H-path and both end vertices of P' lie in the same side of the bipartition of H or P' is an even H-path and exactly one end vertex of P' lies in A_H. To see this, assume for a contradiction that all subpaths are of odd length if exactly one end vertex lies in A_H and of even length of both end vertices lie in B_H. As there are either zero or two paths with exactly one end vertex in A_H, the path P has even length, which is a contradiction.

Therefore, there is a set $\mathcal{Q}$ of $3k$ disjoint H-paths $Q_1, \ldots, Q_{3k}$ where the length of Q_i is odd if both end vertices lie in the same side of the bipartition of H and even otherwise.

Since G is $26k$-connected, there is a set of $2k$ disjoint paths $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \ldots, P_{2k}\}$ connecting $\{s_1, \ldots, s_k, t_1, \ldots, t_k\}$ and H. Choose these paths such that they intersect as few as possible paths from $\mathcal{Q}$. Under this condition choose these paths such that their edge intersection with the paths in $\mathcal{Q}$ is as large as possible. The latter condition implies that if $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ has nonempty intersection with a path in $\mathcal{P}$ – let z', z'' be the end vertices of Q, and let P be first path that intersects Q seen from the direction of z' – then P follows the path Q up to z' beside the case that P is the only path intersecting Q, and P follows Q to z''. Hence for every $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ that intersects a path in $\mathcal{P}$, there is at least one path $P \in \mathcal{P}$ such that there is vertex z that is an end vertex of P and Q. Clearly, a path $P \in \mathcal{P}$ can only share its end vertex with one path in $\mathcal{Q}$. Therefore, the paths in $\mathcal{P}$ intersect at most $2k$ paths in $\mathcal{Q}$ and hence there is a collection $\mathcal{Q}' = \{Q_1', \ldots, Q_k'\} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ of k paths such that $Q \cap P = \emptyset$ for $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $Q \in \mathcal{Q}'$.

Since H is $(k+|I|)$-linked, we can find the desired k disjoint paths of specified parity connecting s_i and t_i for $i \in I$ by using the paths $\mathcal{P}$ and then either directly linking the ends in H of the paths belonging to s_i and t_i (we also do this for all $i \notin I$) or by using the path Q_i' in between. □

For a graph G, let a set of vertices X of G be a *vertex cover* of G if every edge is incident to at least one vertex of X. Let the *vertex cover number* $\tau(G)$ of G be the least number k such that G has a vertex cover X with $|X| = k$. Since a vertex cover has to contain at least one vertex of every edge in a matching M, we have on the one hand $|M| \leq \tau(G)$ for every matching M in G. On the other hand, we observe the following.

> *If M is a maximal matching of G, then the vertices covered by M form a vertex cover of G and hence $\tau(G) \leq 2|M|$.* (1)

Trivially, $\tau(G - v) \geq \tau(G) - 1$ for every graph G and $v \in V(G)$, since every vertex cover of $G - v$ together with $\{v\}$ is a vertex cover of G.

A graph G is *τ-critical* if $\tau(G - e) < \tau(G)$ and $\tau(G - v) < \tau(G)$ for every edge $e \in E(G)$ and every vertex $v \in V(G)$. A result of Erdős and Gallai [5] says that if G is τ-critical, then $\tau(G) \geq |V(G)|/2$.

We make another observation.

> *If G is a graph and (A, B) is a nice partition of G induced by the minimum odd cycle cover X, then $|X| = \tau(G_{A,B})$.* (2)

This can be seen as follows. As $G - X$ is a bipartite graph with bipartition $(A \setminus X, B \setminus X)$, the set X is a vertex cover of $G_{A,B}$. Thus $|X| \geq \tau(G_{A,B})$. Suppose Y is a vertex cover of $G_{A,B}$, then $G - Y$ is a bipartite graph and hence $|X| \leq \tau(G_{A,B})$.

Having these definitions in mind we reprove Kawabarayashi's and Reed's result and directly afterwards Theorem 7.

Proof of Theorem 3. Suppose that X is a minimum odd cycle cover and $|X| \geq 4k - 3$. We show by induction on k that G contains a parity breaking matching for S. Let (A, B) be a nice partition induced by X. By (2), X is a minimum vertex cover of $G_{A,B}$.

We show that for every graph H, any set $\{\{x_1, y_1\}, \ldots, \{x_k, y_k\}\}$ of k pairs of distinct vertices in H such that $\tau(H) \geq 4k - 3$, there is a matching $M = \{m_1, \ldots, m_k\}$ in H such that $m_i \cap \{x_j, y_j\} = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$.

Let Y be a minimal vertex cover of H and we prove the statement by induction on k.

Suppose $k = 1$. Since $\tau(H) \geq 1$, the graph H must contain an edge e and $\{e\}$ is the desired matching. Hence we may assume that $k \geq 2$. Because $2k < 4k - 3$, there is a vertex r such that $r \in Y \setminus \{x_1, \ldots, x_k, y_1, \ldots, y_k\}$. Since $|Y| = \tau(H)$, the vertex r has a neighbor r'. Note that either $r' \notin \{x_1, \ldots, x_k, y_1, \ldots, y_k\}$ or we may assume by symmetry that $r' = x_k$. Observe that $\tau(H - \{x_k, y_k, r, r'\}) \geq 4(k-1) - 3$ and combining rr' and the induction hypothesis for $H - \{s_k, t_k, r, r'\}$, we conclude that H contains a matching M as desired.

Thus with $G_{A,B}$ playing the role of H and s_i, t_i playing the role of x_i, y_i, the graph G contains a parity breaking matching for $\{\{s_1, t_1\}, \ldots, \{s_k, t_k\}\}$ and applying Theorem 4 completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 7. Suppose that X is a minimum odd cycle cover of G and $|X| \geq 2k - 1$. Fix some set $S = \{\{s_1, t_1\}, \ldots, \{s_k, t_k\}\}$ of k pairs of distinct vertices which are independent in G. Let (A, B) be a nice partition induced by X. By Theorem 4 it suffices to show that G contains a parity breaking

matching for S. Note that X is a minimum vertex cover of $G_{A,B}$ and hence $\tau(G_{A,B}) \geq 2k-1$.

We prove that following statement, which clearly completes the proof of Theorem 7. For every graph H and every set $\{\{x_1, y_1\}, \ldots, \{x_k, y_k\}\}$ of k pairs of vertices such that $Z = \{x_1, \ldots, x_k, y_1, \ldots, y_k\}$ is an independent set of size $2k$ and $\tau(H) \geq 2k-1$, there is a matching $M = \{m_1, \ldots, m_k\}$ in H such that $m_i \cap \{x_j, y_j\} = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$.

We proceed by induction on k. If $k = 1$, then H contains an edge e and $\{e\}$ is the desired matching. Assume next that $k \geq 2$. Suppose $H - Z$ contains no edges. This implies that H is bipartite with bipartition $(V(H) \setminus Z, Z)$. By König's Theorem, the matching number of H equals the vertex cover number and hence H contains a matching N of size $2k-1$. Let M be the matching obtained from N by deleting one of the matching edges x_ip and y_iq if both exist in N. Therefore, $|M| = k$ and M is the desired matching.

In the following we may assume that $H - Z$ contains edges. Suppose there is a vertex in Z which is an isolated vertex in H, by symmetry, say x_k. Let $e = uy_k$ be an edge of H incident to y_k if such an edge exists otherwise let $e = uv$ be some edge in $H - Z$. As x_k is an isolated vertex, $\tau(H - \{u, x_k, y_k\}) \geq 2k-3$ if $e = uy_k$ and $\tau(H - \{u, v, x_k, y_k\}) \geq 2k-3$ if $e = uv$, since y_k is also isolated. By the induction hypothesis, there exists a matching $M' = \{m_1, \ldots, m_{k-1}\}$ such that $m_i \cap \{x_j, y_j\} = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$. Thus $M' \cup \{e\}$ is the desired matching.

Therefore, we may assume that every vertex in Z has a neighbor. We obtain a desired matching by induction if $\tau(H) \geq 2k$ by deleting x_k, y_k, and a neighbor of x_k. Thus we may assume $\tau(H) = 2k-1$.

Let H' be the induced subgraph of H which is obtained from H by deleting all isolated vertices. We may assume that the $\tau(H' - e) < \tau(H')$ for every $e \in E(H')$ and $\tau(H' - r) < \tau(H')$ for every $r \in V(H') \setminus Z$. Moreover, if $\tau(H' - x_i) = \tau(H')$, then let r be a neighbor of y_i and the statement follows by induction because $\tau(H' - \{x_i, y_i, r\}) \geq \tau(H') - 2$. This implies that H' is a τ-critical graph.

Since Z is an independent set, complement of an independent set is a vertex cover, and $\tau(H') = 2k-1$, we conclude that $|V(H')| \geq 2k - 1 + 2k = 4k-1$. Thus $\tau(H') < |V(H')|/2$, which contradicts the theorem of Erdős and Gallai mentioned before. □

3 Odd cycles through prescribed vertices

In this section we present several results concerning the Erdős-Pósa property of odd S-cycles in highly connected graphs. This extends the results concerning the Erdős-Pósa property of odd cycles in highly connected graphs. Furthermore, assuming a slightly higher connectivity, we show how known results follow easily from Theorem 4.

Lemma 10. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let G be a $50k$-connected graph. Let S be a set of k vertices and suppose there is a nice partition (A, B) of G with a matching M of size k in $G_{A,B}$ such that every edge in M covers at most one vertex of S. Then G contains k disjoint odd S-cycles.*

Observe that every set of k disjoint odd S cycles lead in any partition (A, B) of G to a matching M of size k in $G_{A,B}$ such that every edge in M covers at

most one vertex of S (if $|S| = k$), because every odd cycle in G uses at least one edge in $G_{A,B}$.

Proof of Lemma 10. Let $T = \{t_1, \ldots, t_k\}$ be a set of vertices distinct from $S = \{s_1, \ldots, s_k\}$ and distinct from the vertices covered by M. By Theorem 4, there are disjoint paths $P_1, \ldots, P_k$ with prescribed parity such that P_i connects s_i and t_i, because M is a parity breaking matching for $\{\{s_1, t_1\}, \ldots, \{s_k, t_k\}\}$.

For every s_i, add to G a vertex s'_i such that $N(s_i) = N(s'_i)$ and denote this new graph by G'. Note that G' is $50k$-connected. Let (A', B') be a nice partition of G'. Let $Q_1, \ldots, Q_k$ be disjoint paths in G such that Q_i connects s_i and t_i and the parity of the length of Q_i is odd if and only if s_i and t_i belong both to A' or B'. For every i, the path Q_i uses at least one edge in $G_{A',B'}$. Let N be a collection of k edges $e_1, \ldots, e_k$ in $G_{A',B'}$ such that $e_i \in E(Q_i)$. Clearly, N does not cover a vertex of the set $\{s'_1, \ldots, s'_k\}$. Thus N is a parity breaking matching for $\{\{s_1, s'_1\}, \ldots, \{s_k, s'_k\}\}$ in G'. By Theorem 4, there are disjoint paths $P'_1, \ldots, P'_k$ of odd length where P'_i joins s_i and s'_i.

Since $N(s_i) = N(s'_i)$, this in turn implies the existence of k disjoint odd S-cycles $C_1, \ldots, C_k$ in G where C_i contains s_i. □

After having proved Lemma 10, it is not difficult to prove the Erdős-Pósa property of odd S-cycles in highly connected graphs.

Theorem 11. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let G be a $50k$-connected graph. Let S be a set of vertices. At least one of the following statements holds.*

1. *G contains k disjoint odd S-cycles.*
2. *There is a set X with $|X| = 2k - 2$ such that $G - X$ does not contain an S-cycle.*

Proof. We may assume that $|S| \geq 2k-1$, otherwise the statement is trivial. Let X be a minimum odd cycle cover of G. We may assume that $|X| \geq 2k - 1$. Let (A, B) be nice partition of G induced by X. Thus $\tau(G_{A,B}) \geq 2k - 1$, by (2). By (1), the graph $G_{A,B}$ contains a matching M of size k. Let $S' \subseteq S$ be a set of k vertices such that no edge in M covers two vertices in S'. Since an edge covers at most two vertices, S' exists. Using Lemma 10, there are k disjoint odd S'-cycles in G and hence k disjoint odd S-cycles in G. □

If a graph G is $50k$-connected and G does not contain k disjoint odd cycles because of the trivial reason that $|S| \leq k - 1$, then G is even almost bipartite.

Theorem 12. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let G be a $50k$-connected graph. Let S be a set of at least k vertices. At least one of the following statements holds.*

1. *G contains k disjoint odd S-cycles.*
2. *There is a set X with $|X| = \min_{S' \subseteq S, |S'|=k}\{2k - 2 + \tau(G[S'])\}$ such that $G - X$ is bipartite.*

Proof. Let X be a minimum odd cycle cover and let $S' \subseteq S$ such that $|S'| = k$ and $\tau(G[S']) = \min_{S' \subseteq S, |S''|=k} \tau(G[S''])$. We may assume that $|X| \geq 2k - 1 + \tau(G[S'])$. Let (A, B) be nice partition of G and let Y be a minimum vertex cover of $G[S']$. Since X is a minimum vertex cover of $G_{A,B}$ by (2), we conclude $\tau(G_{A,B} - Y) \geq 2k - 1$. Note that $S' - Y$ is an independent set in $G_{A,B} - Y$.

Thus by using (1), this in turn implies the existence of a matching M of size k in $G_{A,B} - Y$ such that every edge in M covers at most one vertex of S'. Using Lemma 10, this implies the existence of k disjoint odd S'-cycles in G. □

Let $\tau_k(G[S]) = \min_{S' \subseteq S, |S''|=k} \tau(G[S''])$. Note that $\tau_k(G[S]) \leq k-1$ and thus $2k-2+\tau_k(G[S]) \leq 3k-3$. Moreover, the bound "$2k-2+\tau_k(G[S])$" is sharp for every possible value of $\tau_k(G[S])$ no matter how large the connectivity of G is. To see this, let G arise from a large complete bipartite graph with bipartition (A, B) by adding the edges of a clique on $2k-1$ vertices to A and the edges of a clique on τ vertices to B for some $1 \leq \tau \leq k$. Let S be a set of k vertices in B containing the τ-clique. Hence $\tau(G[S]) = \tau - 1$, there do not exist k disjoint odd S-cycles, and there is no set X of $2k-3+\tau(G[S])$ vertices such that $G - X$ is bipartite.

We proceed with a proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Theorem 11 proves the first part of the statement and if $|S| \geq k$, then the observation $2k-2+\tau_k(G[S]) \leq 3k-3$ together with Theorem 12 proves the second part of the statement. □

We conclude the paper with two results about disjoint odd cycles; that is, odd S-cycles with $S = V(G)$.

Corollary 13. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let G be a $50k$-connected graph. Exactly one of the following statements holds.*

1. *G contains k disjoint odd cycles.*
2. *For every nice partition (A, B), the graph $G_{A,B}$ does not contain a matching of size k.*

Proof. If the first statement holds, then the second does clearly not hold.

Suppose that the second statement does not hold. Let (A, B) be a nice partition of G and let M be a matching of size k in $G_{A,B}$. If G contains an independent set I of size k, then every edge in M covers at most one vertex in I. By Theorem 12, the graph G contains k disjoint odd I-cycles. Thus we may assume that G does not contain an independent set of size k. We claim that G contains k disjoint triangles. This can be seen as follows. Select a vertex u in G. As its neighborhood does not contain an independent set of size k, it contains an edge vw. Delete the triangle $uvwu$ from G and iterate this process k times. As G is $50k$-connected, the graph after the i-th iteration is still $(50k-3i)$-connected. □

The following corollary is already proven by Thomassen [18] and Rautenbach and Reed [14] with a higher connectivity bound. Later Kawarabayashi and Reed [10] and Kawarabayashi and Wollan [11] improved this bound to $24k$ and $\frac{31}{2}k$, respectively.

Corollary 14. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let G be a $50k$-connected graph. At least one of the following statements holds.*

1. *G contains k disjoint odd cycles.*
2. *G contains a set X of $2k-2$ vertices such that $G - X$ is bipartite.*

Proof. Suppose the second statement does not hold. Let (A, B) be a nice partition of G induced by a minimum odd cycle cover X. By (2), X is a minimum vertex cover of $G_{A,B}$. Since $|X| \geq 2k - 1$ by our assumption, (1) implies that the graph $G_{A,B}$ contains a matching of size k. Because this holds for every minimum odd cycle cover and so for every nice partition of G, the statement follows from the previous corollary. □

Clearly, assuming $50k$-connectivity in our results is not the best bound in terms of k one can hope for. However, it is essentially best possible in the sense that as one can easily construct graphs that show that linear connectivity in k is necessary. It would be interesting to know which connectivity is needed to ensure that our results hold. It even seems possible that the approach via a parity-k-linkage theorem cannot lead to the best connectivity bound.

References

[1] E. Birmelé, J.A. Bondy, and B. Reed, *The Erdős-Pósa property for long circuits*, Combinatorica **27** (2007), 135–145.

[2] J.A. Bondy and L. Lovász, *Cycles through specified vertices of a graph*, Combinatorica **1** (1981), 117–140.

[3] H. Bruhn, F Joos, and O. Schaudt, *Long cycles through prescribed vertices have the Erdős-Pósa property*, manuscript.

[4] G.A. Dirac, *In abstrakten Graphen vorhandene vollständige 4-Graphen und ihre Unterteilungen*, Math. Nachr. **22** (1960), 61–85.

[5] P. Erdős and T. Gallai, *On the minimal number of vertices representing the edges of a graph.*, Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutató Int. Közl. **6** (1961), 181–203.

[6] P. Erdős and L. Pósa, *On the maximal number of disjoint circuits of a graph*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **9** (1962), 3–12.

[7] J. Geelen, B. Gerards, B. Reed, P. Seymour, and A. Vetta, *On the odd-minor variant of Hadwiger's conjecture*, J. Combin. Theory (Series B) **99** (2009), 20–29.

[8] N. Kakimura and K. Kawarabayashi, *Half-integral packing of odd cycles through prescribed vertices*, Combinatorica **33** (2013), 549–572.

[9] N. Kakimura, K. Kawarabayashi, and D. Marx, *Packing cycles through prescribed vertices*, J. Combin. Theory (Series B) **101** (2011), 378–381.

[10] K. Kawarabayashi and B. Reed, *Highly parity linked graphs*, Combinatorica **29** (2009), 215–225.

[11] K. Kawarabayashi and P. Wollan, *Non-zero disjoint cycles in highly connected group labelled graphs*, J. Combin. Theory (Series B) **96** (2006), 296–301.

[12] W. Mader, *Existenz n-fach zusammenhängender Teilgraphen in Graphen genügend grosser Kantendichte*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **37** (1972), 86–97.

[13] M. Pontecorvi and P. Wollan, *Disjoint cycles intersecting a set of vertices*, J. Combin. Theory (Series B) **102** (2012), 1134–1141.

[14] D. Rautenbach and B. Reed, *The Erdős-Pósa property for odd cycles in highly connected graphs*, Combinatorica **21** (2001), 267–278.

[15] B. Reed, *Mangoes and blueberries*, Combinatorica **19** (1999), 267–296.

[16] N. Robertson and P. Seymour, *Graph minors. V. Excluding a planar graph*, J. Combin. Theory (Series B) **41** (1986), 92–114.

[17] R. Thomas and P. Wollan, *An improved linear edge bound for graph linkages*, Europ. J. Combin. **26** (2005), 309–324.

[18] C. Thomassen, *The Erdős-Pósa property for odd cycles in graphs of large connectivity*, Combinatorica **21** (2001), 321–333.

Version February 17, 2016

Felix Joos `<felix.joos@uni-ulm.de>`
Institut für Optimierung und Operations Research
Universität Ulm, Ulm
Germany